IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 21222 of 1994

Between:
B.S. Raghu S/o B.S.Bhaskar
R/o Hyderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND
1 The Director of School Education A.P., Hyderabad
2 The District Educational Officer, Warangal Dist., Warangal
3 The District Educational Officer, Hyderabad Dist., Hyderabad
4 The Principal, St.Gabriel High School Kazipet, Warangal Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of mandamus directing the 1st & 2nd respondents to correct the date of birth of the petitioner as 16-5-1967 instead of 16-5-1965 in the S.S.C. Records of the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to pay compensation of Rs.1,00,000/- as damages by issuing an appropriate writ or order or direction, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.SRI RAGHU RAM

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION

The Court Made the Following:

ORDER:

The petitioner seeks a Writ of Mandamus directing respondents 1 and 2 to correct the Date of Birth of the petitioner in SSC records as 16.05.1967 instead of 16.05.1965. He also prayed for an order to pay a compensation of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees one lakh only) as damages.

In the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents 1 to 3, it is stated that the representation/application of the petitioner was processed and after receiving necessary proposals from the second respondent, necessary corrections were made in Secondary School Certificate (SSC) during 1993 i.e. 28.08.1993 correcting the Date of Birth of the petitioner as 16.05.1967. This only shows that the petitioner filed the writ petitioner without proper cause of action. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner Sri P.Srinivasa Rao submits that the order of the proceedings of the first respondent have not so far been communicated. The petitioner may approach the first respondent for a copy of the proceedings or it shall be open to the petitioner to obtain a duplicate copy of SSC from the competent authority. In this writ petition no orders need be passed to pay compensation to the petitioner.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO,J)

17.06.2004
pln

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

1 The Director of School Education A.P., Hyderabad
2 The District Educational Officer, Warangal Dist., Warangal
3 The District Educational Officer, Hyderabad Dist., Hyderabad

4 2 CCs to G.P. for School Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.
5 2 CD copies.